METAL AND MINERAL DEPOSITS OF THE ARCTIC

Acknowledgement
This project would not have been possible without the financial support of the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Recommended citation:
Nordahl, B., Harrison, C.J., Jama, A. & Solli, A., 2016: Metal and mineral deposits of the Arctic, scale 1:5 000 000, Geological Survey of Norway.

Scale 1:5 000 000
Stereographic North Pole Projection
Standard Parallel 70°N Coordinate System WGS 1984
Prime Meridian: Greenwich (0.0)
© NGU, Geological Survey of Norway



Deposits

- Diamonds
- Hydrothermal fields
- Energy metals: U, Th
- Precious metals: Ag, Au, Pd, Pt, Rh
- Special metals: Be, Li, Mo, Nb, REE, Sc, Sn,Ta, W, Zr
- Base metals: Al, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn
- Ferrous metals: Cr, Fe, Mn, Ti, V

Size and activity

- Active mine
- No mine
- Very large with active mine
- Very large
- Large with active mine
- Large
- Potentially large with active mine
- Potentially large

North Atlantic Ridge

- Ridge
- Transform fault

Sedimentary and or metamorphic, undivided: lighter shades of each are offshore

- Cz Cenozoic
- Ng Neogene and Quaternary
- Pg Paleogene
- K Cretaceous (often includes Paleogene)
- J Jurassic (often includes Cretaceous)
- T Triassic (often includes Jurassic)
- Pz Paleozoic (mostly Cambrian to Devonian)
- Pe Permian (often includes Triassic)
- C Carboniferous (often includes Permian)
- D Devonian (often includes Carboniferous)
- S Silurian (often includes Devonian)
- O Ordovician (often includes Silurian)
- Cm Cambrian (often includes Ordovician)
- Pr Proterozoic (up to Devonian in Yukon and Alaska)
- N Neoproterozoic (often includes Cambrian)
- M Mesoproterozoic
- P Paleoproterozoic
- A, AP Archean, Archean and Paleoproterozoic

Distinct lithologic suites (with age label prefix): lighter shades of each are offshore

- v Extrusive; igneous, undivided
- f Felsic intrusive suites (granite, tonalite)
- b Gabbro suite (also anorthosite)
- þ Peridotite suite
- x Impact breccia

Cratons, shield areas

- ASI Slave Craton: felsic intrusive suites
- AR Rae Craton: intrusive undivided
- AH Hearne Craton: felsic intrusive suites
- AM Murmansk craton: felsic intrusive suites
- AK Karelian Craton: felsic intrusive suites
- AN North Atlantic Craton: intrusive undivided
- AA Anabar shield: sedimentary undivided

The Database
The database for metals follows the FODD model which can be viewed and explained using the sources listed above. Legal requirements and restrictions as well as the level of publicly available knowledge lead to unavoidable variations in the availability of data on specific deposits. Data on reserves in the deposits in Russia and on the plans for their extraction are based on official reports to central authorities: these data have been made available to the project. Data on past production in Russia are not publicly available so that it is not possible to document the total original tonnage and grade of deposits which have been in production for many years. The FODD classification "Potentially Large" exists in order to classify deposits for which the available geological information clearly indicates the overall size of a deposit though without detailed information on tonnage and grades. This category is also used for deposits from which detailed information is publicly available only for specific intersections but for which more general information gives clear indications of a major tonnage.
Diamond deposits in production and other large/very large deposits are included in a simplified FODD structure. The ProMine classification (in carats/deposit) was used and the "cut-off" is 10 million carats.
Hydrothermal vents and deposits at the North Atlantic Ridge are shown, but not classified, as their grades and tonnages are not known. Most of the data are taken from the InterRidge Vents Database (ver.3.3):

The Legend
The legend for deposit information is broadly based on that used in the FODD maps, with the addition of symbols for hydrothermal fields and diamond deposits. Aluminium is included as a base metal in this legend because of the extensive mining operations in bauxite in Russia and because of the assessment of major kyanite deposits on the Kola Peninsula as important potential sources of alumina in Russia.

References
Eilu, P., Hallberg, A., Bergman, T., Feoktistov, V., Korsakova, M., Krasotkin, S., Lampio, E., Litvinenko, V., Nurmi, P. A., Often, M., Philippov, N., Sandstad, J. S., Stromov, V. & Tontti, M.: 2007. Fennoscandian Ore Deposit Database – explanatory remarks to the database. Geological Survey of Finland, Report of Investigation 168, 17p.
Gaina, C., S. Werner, R. Saltus, S. Maus, S. Aars, D. Damaske, R. Forsberg, V. Glebovsky, K. Johnson, J. Jonberger, T. Koren, J. Kothenen, T. Litvinova, G. Oakey, O. Olesen, O. Petrov, M. Pilkington, T. Rasmussen, B. Schreckenberger and M. Smelror, 2011. Circum-Arctic mapping project: new magnetic and gravity anomaly maps of the Arctic. In: Spencer, A.M., A.F. Embry, D.L. Gautier, A.V. Stoupakova and K. Sørensen (editors). Arctic petroleum geology. London: Geological Society of London (Memoirs 35): 39–48.
Harrison, J.C., M.R. St-Onge, O. Petrov, S. Strelnikov, B. Lopatin, F. Wilson, S. Tella, D. Paul, T. Lynds, S. Shokalsky, C. Hults, S. Bergman, H.F. Jepsen and A. Solli, 2011. Geological map of the Arctic. Ottawa: Geological Survey of Canada. Map 2159A, scale 1:5 000 000.
Petrov, O.V. & Smelror, M., 2015: Uniting the Arctic frontiers – International cooperation on circum-Arctic geological and geophysical maps. Polar Record 51, 530-535.
Petrov, O.V., M. Smelror, S. Shokalsky, A. Morozov, S. Kashubin, G. Gurkurov, N. Sobolev and E. Petrov, 2013. A new international tectonic map of the Arctic (TeMAr) 1:5 M scale and geodynamic evolution in the Arctic region. Geophysical Research Abstracts 15 EGU2013-13481 (EGU General Assembly 2013).

Compilers of the database:
USGS : Frederic Wilson
GSC : Lesley Chorlton, Christopher Harrison
GEUS : Jochen Kolb, Franck Schjøth, Simun Olsen,Lars L. Sørensen
NGU : Terje Bjerkgård, Jan-Sverre Sandstad
SGU : Anders Hallberg
GTK : Jouni Vuollo, Taina Elonarta, Pasi Eilu
VSEGEI : Artem Terekhov, Anatoly Molchanov, Vitaly Shatov

The Circum-Arctic Mineral Resource project was initiated at a meeting in Toronto in March, 2012. Important decisions taken at an early stage were:
•The project should focus on the largest deposits of metals and diamonds, these being the mineral resources with the highest unit values, as opposed to industrial minerals (in general), construction materials and fertilizer minerals.
•Data on the deposits should be compiled in a database modeled on the database produced in the Fennoscandian Ore Deposit Database (FODD) project
http://en.gtk.fi/informationservices/databases/fodd/index.html).
•In order to focus on the most important deposits and to restrict the database to a more manageable size it was decided that the project would consider only the deposits in the FODD categories, Large, Very Large and Potentially Large (see: Eilu et al. (2007) for definitions).
•The products of the project, in addition to the database, would include a map (this work), a web-site for distribution of the information (http://www.ngu.no/en/projects/circum-arctic-mapping), a geoscientific compilation of information about the main provinces and deposits (in English) and a briefer description of the results aimed at general-interest readers, this to be made available in several languages.
•The map showing the location of the deposits should be based on a simplified version of the Geological Map of the Arctic (Harrison et al., 2011)
Known hydrothermal fields on oceanic spreading axes should be included and shown using a black triangular symbol, without any supposition of size or grade. Metallic nodules are known to occur in several parts of the seabed in the Arctic: it was decided that these

EXPLANATORY NOTES

The map Metal and Mineral Deposits of the Arctic is the fifth in a series resulting from cooperation between the national geological institutions of the US, Canada, Greenland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden, Finland and Russia which commenced in 2003 (Petrov & Smelror, 2015). The objective was to produce digital geological and geophysical maps for the Arctic region north of 60°N at a scale of 1:5 000 000 and also a 1:10 000 000 version. The first four products were:
•Geological Map of the Arctic (Harrison et al., 2008)
•Magnetic Map of the Arctic (Gaina et al., 2011)
•Gravity anomaly Map of the Arctic (Gaina et al., 2011)
•Tectonic Map of the Arctic (Petrov et al., 2013)